1 2 3 4 5	CHARLES J. STEVENS, SBN 106981 cstevens@gibsondunn.com STEPHEN W. HENRICK, SBN 310539 shenrick@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 555 Mission Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, CA 94105-0921 Telephone: 415.393.8200 Facsimile: 415.393.8306	CHRISTIAN LEATHLEY (pro hac vice) Christian.Leathley@hsf.com SCOTT BALBER (pro hac vice) Scott.Balber@hsf.com HERBERT SMITH FREEHILLS NEW YORK LLP 450 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10017 Telephone: 917.542.7600
6	ANNE CHAMPION (pro hac vice)	Facsimile: 917.542.7601
7	achampion@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 200 Park Avenue	
8	New York, NY 10166-0193 Telephone: 212.351.4000	
9	Facsimile: 212.351.4000	
10	Attorneys for Respondents CHEVRON CORPORATION and CHEVRON U.S.A.	
11	INC.	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	OAKLAND DIVISION	
15		Case No. 4:18-cv-03297-JSW
16		REPLY IN SUPPORT OF
17	WALEED AL-QARQANI, et al.,	RESPONDENTS' MOTION FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT IN
18	Petitioners,	ACCORDANCE WITH ORDER GRANTING RESPONDENTS'
19	V.	MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES
20	CHEVRON CORPORATION and CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.,	Judge: Hon. Jeffrey S. White
21	Respondents.	HEARING:
22		Date: January 12, 2024 Time: 9:00 AM
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Edward Chung's opposition to Respondents Chevron Corporation and Chevron U.S.A. Inc.'s motion for an entry of judgment merely rehashes frivolous arguments that both the Ninth Circuit and this Court have already rejected. Because Mr. Chung offers no basis on which this Court should decline to enter judgment, Respondents respectfully request that this Court enter judgment in accordance with the Court's October 24, 2023 order awarding Respondents' attorneys' fees and prejudgment interest as a compensatory sanction pursuant to the Ninth Circuit's June 26, 2023 order.

Mr. Chung's arguments—many of which are copied and pasted word-for-word from Mr. Chung's prior sur-reply opposing Respondents' request for fees in this Court, *compare*, *e.g.*, Dkt. 190 at 16–20, *with* Dkt. 194 at 15–19—all go to the propriety of the fee award this Court has already imposed in accordance with the Ninth Circuit's order. Those rulings are now law of the case and are not subject to further dispute. *See Thomas v. Bible*, 983 F.2d 152, 154 (9th Cir. 1993) ("[A] court is generally precluded from reconsidering an issue that has already been decided by the same court, or a higher court in the identical case."). In any event, both the Ninth Circuit and this Court have correctly rejected Mr. Chung's meritless contentions, and Mr. Chung identifies no plausible justification for revisiting them yet again before judgment is entered. *See* Dkt. 186 at 2 (Ninth Circuit finding "meritless" Mr. Chung's arguments that "this court lacks jurisdiction to impose sanctions," that "the Special Master violated certain procedural and due process requirements," that "the Special Master applied incorrect substantive law," and that "the sanctions proceedings resulted from judicial bias and misconduct"); Dkt. 191 (awarding fees over Mr. Chung's objections).

Nowhere in Mr. Chung's opposition does he address the only issue properly before the Court: whether to enter judgment in accordance with the Ninth Circuit's and this Court's prior determinations that Mr. Chung should pay Respondents' attorneys' fees as a compensatory sanction. Mr. Chung's brief does not even mention Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58, let alone offer any basis why the Court should not either enter judgment directly pursuant to Rules 58(d) and 58(a) or direct the Clerk of Court to enter judgment pursuant to Rule 58(b). The Court should grant Respondents' motion and enter judgment accordingly.

For the foregoing reasons, Respondents respectfully request that the Court enter judgment against Mr. Chung for the full amount of fees Respondents sought before the Ninth Circuit

Case 4:18-cv-03297-JSW Document 195 Filed 12/11/23 Page 3 of 3

1	(\$251,313.72), plus appropriate interest in the amount of \$16,970.13, for a total award of \$268,283.8	
2	as a compensatory sanction pursuant to the Ninth Circuit's June 26, 2023 order.	
3	DATED: December 11, 2023	Respectfully submitted,
4		GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
5		By: /s/ Anne Champion
6 7		CHARLES J. STEVENS, SBN 106981
8		cstevens@gibsondunn.com STEPHEN W. HENRICK, SBN 310539
9		shenrick@gibsondunn.com
		555 Mission Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, CA 94105-0921
10 11		Telephone: 415.393.8200 Facsimile: 415.393.8306
12		ANNE CHAMPION (pro hac vice)
13		achampion@gibsondunn.com 200 Park Avenue
14		New York, NY 10166-0193
15		Telephone: 212.351.4000 Facsimile: 212.351.4035
16		CHRISTIAN LEATHLEY (pro hac vice)
17		Christian.Leathley@hsf.com
18		SCOTT BALBER (pro hac vice) Scott.Balber@hsf.com
19		HERBERT SMITH FREEHILLS NEW YORK LLP
20		450 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10017
21		Telephone: 917.542.7600
21		Facsimile: 917.542.7601
		Attorneys for Respondents CHEVRON CORPORATION and CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.
23		Controlly what crib y front close in the
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	?	